

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/591,733		CAERS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	EBENEZER SACKEY		1624	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) EBENEZER SACKEY.

(2) LAELANDRO ARECHEDERRA.

**Date of Interview:** 27 January 2011

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 10:00

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*103*

Claims discussed:

*all pending claims*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Prior art of record, Takai et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,583,324*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*applicant's attorney, Leandro Arechederra, directed the Examiner's attention to paragraphs [0041 to 0045] of the P.G. pub, which discloses the improvements made in the current invention over previous hydroformylation reactions.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  

/EBENEZER SACKEY/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)